

Degree powers in graphs with forbidden even cycle

Vladimir Nikiforov

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Memphis,
Memphis TN 38152, USA, email: *vnikifrv@memphis.edu*

March 31, 2009

Abstract

Let C_l denote the cycle of length l . For $p \geq 2$ and integer $k \geq 1$, we prove that the function

$$\phi(k, p, n) = \max \left\{ \sum_{u \in V(G)} d^p(u) : G \text{ is a graph of order } n \text{ containing no } C_{2k+2} \right\}$$

satisfies $\phi(k, p, n) = kn^p(1 + o(1))$.

This settles a conjecture of Caro and Yuster.

Our proof is based on a new sufficient condition for long paths, that may be useful in other applications as well.

1 Introduction

Our notation and terminology follow [1]; in particular, C_l denotes the cycle of length l .

For $p \geq 2$ and integer $k \geq 1$, Caro and Yuster [3] studied the function

$$\phi(k, p, n) = \max \left\{ \sum_{u \in V(G)} d_G^p(u) : G \text{ is a graph of order } n \text{ without a } C_{2k+2} \right\}$$

and conjectured that

$$\phi(k, p, n) = kn^p(1 + o(1)). \quad (1)$$

The graph $K_k + \overline{K}_{n-k}$, i.e., the join of K_k and $\overline{K}_{n-k}$, gives $\phi(k, p, n) > k(n-1)^p$, so to prove (1) a matching upper bound is necessary. We give such a bound in Corollary 3 below. Our main tool, stated in Lemma 1, is a new sufficient condition for long paths. It also implies the following spectral bound proved in [5]:

Let G be a graph of order n and μ be the largest eigenvalue of its adjacency matrix. If G contains no C_{2k+2} , then

$$\mu^2 - k\mu \leq k(n-1).$$

2 Main results

We write $|X|$ for the cardinality of a finite set X . Let G be a graph, and X and Y be disjoint sets of vertices of G . We write:

- $V(G)$ for the vertex set of G and $|G|$ for $|V(G)|$;
- $e_G(X)$ for the number of edges induced by X ;
- $e_G(X, Y)$ for the number of edges joining vertices in X to vertices in Y ;
- $G - u$ for the graph obtained by removing the vertex $u \in V(G)$;
- $\Gamma_G(u)$ for the set of neighbors of the vertex u and $d_G(u)$ for $|\Gamma_G(u)|$.

The main result of this note is the following lemma.

Lemma 1 *Suppose that $k \geq 1$ and let the vertices of a graph G be partitioned into two sets A and B .*

(A) *If*

$$2e_G(A) + e_G(A, B) > (2k - 2)|A| + k|B|, \quad (2)$$

then there exists a path of order $2k$ or $2k + 1$ with both ends in A .

(B) *If*

$$2e_G(A) + e_G(A, B) > (2k - 1)|A| + k|B|, \quad (3)$$

then there exists a path of order $2k + 1$ with both ends in A .

Note that if we choose the set B to be empty, Lemma 1 amounts to a classical result of Erdős and Gallai:

If a graph of order n has more than $kn/2$ edges, then it contains a path of order $k + 2$.

We postpone the proof of Lemma 1 to Section 3 and turn to two consequences.

Theorem 2 *Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. If G does not contain a C_{2k+2} , then*

$$\sum_{u \in V(G)} d_G^2(u) \leq 2km + k(n - 1)n.$$

Proof Let u be any vertex of G . Partition the vertices of the graph $G - u$ into the sets $A = \Gamma_G(u)$ and $B = V(G) \setminus (\Gamma_G(u) \cup \{u\})$. Since G contains no C_{2k+2} , the graph $G - u$ does not contain a path of order $2k + 1$ with both ends in A . Applying Lemma 1, part (B), we see that

$$2e_{G-u}(A) + e_{G-u}(A, B) \leq (2k - 1)|A| + k|B|,$$

and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v \in \Gamma_G(u)} (d_G(v) - 1) &= \sum_{v \in \Gamma_G(u)} d_{G-u}(v) = 2e_{G-u}(A) + e_{G-u}(A, B) \\ &\leq (2k - 1)|A| + k|B| \\ &= (2k - 1)d_G(u) + k(n - d_G(u) - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging both sides, we obtain

$$\sum_{v \in \Gamma_G(u)} d_G(v) \leq k d_G(u) + k(n-1).$$

Adding these inequalities for all vertices $u \in V(G)$, we find out that

$$\sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{v \in \Gamma_G(u)} d_G(v) \leq k \sum_{u \in V(G)} d_G(u) + k(n-1)n = 2km + k(n-1)n.$$

To complete the proof of the theorem note that the term $d_G(v)$ appears in the left-hand sum exactly $d_G(v)$ times, and so

$$\sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{v \in \Gamma_G(u)} d_G(v) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_G^2(v).$$

□

Here is a corollary of Theorem 2 that gives the upper bound for the proof of (1).

Corollary 3 *Let G be a graph with n vertices. If G does not contain a C_{2k+2} , then for every $p \geq 2$,*

$$\sum_{u \in V(G)} d_G^p(u) \leq kn^p + O(n^{p-1/2}).$$

Proof Letting m be the number of edges of G , we first deduce an upper bound on m . Theorem 2 and the AM-QM inequality imply that

$$\frac{4m^2}{n} \leq \sum_{u \in V(G)} d_G^2(u) \leq 2km + k(n-1)n,$$

and so,

$$m \leq -kn + n\sqrt{k(n-1) + k^2} < n\sqrt{kn}. \quad (4)$$

Note that much stronger upper bounds on m are known (e.g., see [2] and [6]), but this one is simple and unconditional.

Now Theorem 2 and inequality (4) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \in V(G)} d_G^p(u) &< \sum_{u \in V(G)} n^{p-2} d_G^2(u) < kn^p + 2kmn^{p-2} < kn^p + 2(kn)^{3/2} n^{p-2} \\ &= kn^p + O(n^{p-1/2}), \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof. □

3 Proof of Lemma 1

To simplify the proof of Lemma 1 we state two routine lemmas whose proofs are given only for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 4 *Let $P = (v_1, \dots, v_p)$ be a path of maximum order in a connected non-Hamiltonian graph G . Then $p \geq d_G(v_1) + d_G(v_p) + 1$.*

Proof Indeed, since P is of maximum order, we see that $\Gamma_G(v_1) \subset \{v_1, \dots, v_p\}$ and $\Gamma_G(v_p) \subset \{v_1, \dots, v_p\}$. Let

$$r = d_G(v_1), \quad s = d_G(v_p), \\ \Gamma_G(v_1) = \{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_r}\}, \quad \Gamma_G(v_p) = \{v_{j_1}, \dots, v_{j_s}\}.$$

Here we assume that

$$1 < i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq p, \quad 1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_s < p.$$

If v_p is joined to v_{i_s-1} for some $1 \leq s \leq r$, then the sequence

$$(v_1, \dots, v_{i_s-1}, v_p, v_{p-1}, \dots, v_{i_s}, v_1)$$

is a cycle of order p . Since G is non-Hamiltonian and connected, there is an edge joining some of the vertices $v_1, \dots, v_p$ to a vertex in $V(G) \setminus \{v_1, \dots, v_p\}$. Then we easily obtain a path longer than P , which contradicts the choice of P .

Therefore, v_p is not connected to any of the vertices $v_{i_1-1}, \dots, v_{i_r-1}$. Thus $\{j_1, \dots, j_s\}$ and $\{i_1 - 1, \dots, i_r - 1\}$ are disjoint subsets of $\{1, \dots, p - 1\}$, implying that

$$p - 1 \geq r + s = d_G(v_1) + d_G(v_p),$$

and completing the proof. $\square$

Lemma 5 *Let $P = (v_1, \dots, v_p)$ be a path of maximum order in a graph G . Then either v_1 is joined to two consecutive vertices of P or G contains a cycle of order at least $2d_G(v_1)$.*

Proof Since P is of maximum order, $\Gamma_G(v_1) \subset \{v_1, \dots, v_p\}$. Let $\{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_r}\} = \Gamma_G(v_1)$, where

$$1 < i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq p.$$

Assume v_1 is not joined to two consecutive vertices of P , that is to say, $i_t - i_{t-1} \geq 2$ for every $t = 2, \dots, r$. Then the sequence

$$(v_1, v_{i_1}, v_{i_1+1}, \dots, v_{i_r-1}, v_{i_r}, v_1)$$

is a cycle of order at least $1 + r + r - 1 = 2r = 2d_G(v_1)$, completing the proof. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 1 For convenience we shall assume that the set B is independent. Also, we shall call a path with both ends in A an A -path.

Claim 6 *If G contains an A -path of order $p > 2$, then G contains an A -path of order $p - 2$.*

Indeed, let $(v_1, \dots, v_p)$ be an A -path. If $v_2 \in B$, then $v_3 \in A$, and so $(v_3, \dots, v_p)$ is an A -path of order $p - 2$. If $v_{p-1} \in B$, then $v_{p-2} \in A$, and so $(v_1, \dots, v_{p-2})$ is an A -path of order $p - 2$. Finally, if both $v_2 \in A$ and $v_{p-1} \in A$, then $(v_2, \dots, v_{p-1})$ is an A -path of order $p - 2$.

The proofs of the two parts of Lemma 1 are very similar, but since they differ in the details, we shall present them separately.

Proof of part (A)

From Claim 6 we easily obtain the following consequence:

Claim 7 *If G contains an A -path of order $p \geq 2k$, then G contains an A -path of order $2k$ or $2k + 1$.*

This in turn implies

Claim 8 *If G contains a cycle C_p for some $p \geq 2k + 1$, then G contains an A -path of order $2k$ or $2k + 1$.*

Indeed, let $C = (v_1, \dots, v_p, v_1)$ be a cycle of order $p \geq 2k + 1$. The assertion is obvious if C is entirely in A , so let assume that C contains a vertex of B , say $v_1 \in B$. Then $v_2 \in A$ and $v_p \in A$; hence, $(v_2, \dots, v_p)$ is an A -path of order at least $2k$. In view of Claim 7, this completes the proof of Claim 8.

To complete the proof of part (A) we shall use induction on the order of G . First we show that condition (2) implies that $|G| \geq 2k$. Indeed, assume that $|G| \leq 2k - 1$. We have

$$|A|^2 - |A| + |A||B| \geq 2e_G(A) + e_G(A, B) > (2k - 2)|A| + k|B|$$

and so,

$$|G|(|A| - k) = (|A| + |B|)(|A| - k) > (k - 1)|A|.$$

Hence, we find that

$$(2k - 1)(|A| - k) > (k - 1)|A|$$

and so, $|A| > 2k - 1$, a contradiction with $|A| \leq |G|$.

The conclusion of Lemma 1, part (A) follows when $|G| \leq 2k - 1$ since then the hypothesis is false. Assume now that $|G| \geq 2k$ and that the Lemma holds for graphs with fewer vertices than G . This assumption implies the assertion if G is disconnected, so to the end of the proof we shall assume that G is connected.

We can assume that G is non-Hamiltonian. Indeed, in view of Claim 8, this is obvious when $|G| > 2k$. If $|G| = 2k$ and G is Hamiltonian, then no two consecutive vertices along the Hamiltonian cycle belong to A , and since B is independent, we have $|B| = |A| = k$. Then

$$k(2k - 1) \geq 2e_G(A) + e_G(A, B) > (2k - 2)|A| + k|B| = k(2k - 1),$$

contradicting (2). Thus, we shall assume that G is non-Hamiltonian.

The induction step is completed if there is a vertex $u \in B$ such that $d_G(u) \leq k$. Indeed the sets A and $B' = B \setminus \{u\}$ partition the vertices of $G - u$ and also

$$\begin{aligned} 2e_{G-u}(A) + e_{G-u}(A, B) &= 2e_G(A) + e_G(A, B) - d_G(u) > (2k-2)|A| + k|B| - k \\ &= (2k-2)|A| + k|B'|; \end{aligned}$$

hence $G - u$ contains an A -path of order at least $2k$, completing the proof. Thus, to the end of the proof we shall assume that

(a) $d_G(u) \geq k+1$ for every vertex $u \in B$.

For every vertex $u \in A$, write $d'_G(u)$ for its neighbors in A and $d''_G(u)$ for its neighbors in B . The induction step can be completed if there is a vertex $u \in A$ such that $2d'_G(u) + d''_G(u) \leq 2k-2$. Indeed, if u is such a vertex, note that the sets $A' = A \setminus \{u\}$ and B partition the vertices of $G - u$ and also

$$\begin{aligned} 2e_{G-u}(A) + e_{G-u}(A, B) &= 2e_G(A) + e_G(A, B) - 2d'_G(u) - d''_G(u) \\ &> (2k-2)|A| + k|B| - 2k + 2 \\ &= (2k-2)|A'| + k|B|; \end{aligned}$$

hence $G - u$ contains an A -path of order at least $2k$, completing the proof. Hence we have $2d'_G(u) + d''_G(u) \geq 2k-1$, and so $d_G(u) \geq k$. Thus, to the end of the proof, we shall assume that:

(b) $d_G(u) \geq k$ for every vertex $u \in A$.

Select now a path $P = (v_1, \dots, v_p)$ of maximum length in G . To complete the induction step we shall consider three cases: (i) $v_1 \in B, v_p \in B$; (ii) $v_1 \in B, v_p \in A$, and (iii) $v_1 \in A, v_p \in A$.

Case (i): $v_1 \in B, v_p \in B$

In view of assumption (a) we have $d_G(v_1) + d_G(v_p) \geq 2k+2$, and Lemma 4 implies that $p \geq 2k+3$. We see that $(v_2, \dots, v_{p-1})$ is an A -path of order at least $2k+1$, completing the proof by Claim 7.

Case (ii): $v_1 \in B, v_p \in A$

In view of assumptions (a) and (b) we have $d_G(v_1) + d_G(v_p) \geq 2k+1$, and Lemma 4 implies that $p \geq 2k+2$, and so, $(v_2, \dots, v_p)$ is an A -path of order at least $2k+1$. This completes the proof by Claim 7.

Case (iii): $v_1 \in A, v_p \in A$

In view of assumption (b) we have $d_G(v_1) + d_G(v_p) \geq 2k$, and Lemma 4 implies that $p \geq 2k+1$. Since $(v_1, \dots, v_p)$ is an A -path of order at least $2k+1$, by Claim 7, the proof of part (A) of Lemma 1 is completed.

Proof of part (B)

From Claim 6 we easily obtain the following consequence:

Claim 9 *If G contains an A -path of odd order $p \geq 2k + 1$, then G contains an A -path of order exactly $2k + 1$.*

From Claim 9 we deduce another consequence:

Claim 10 *If G contains a cycle C_p for some $p \geq 2k + 1$, then G contains an A -path of order exactly $2k + 1$.*

Indeed, let $C = (v_1, \dots, v_p, v_1)$ be a cycle of order $p \geq 2k + 1$. If p is odd, then some two consecutive vertices of C belong to A , say the vertices v_1 and v_2 . Then $(v_2, \dots, v_p, v_1)$ is an A -path of odd order $p \geq 2k + 1$, and by Claim 9 the assertion follows. If p is even, then $p \geq 2k + 2$. The assertion is obvious if C is entirely in A , so let assume that C contains a vertex of B , say $v_1 \in B$. Then $v_2 \in A$ and $v_p \in A$; hence $(v_2, \dots, v_p)$ is an A -path of odd order at least $2k + 1$, completing the proof of Claim 10.

To complete the proof of Lemma 1 we shall use induction on the order of G . First we show that condition (3) implies that $|G| \geq 2k + 1$. Indeed, assume that $|G| \leq 2k$. We have

$$|A|^2 - |A| + |A||B| \geq 2e_G(A) + e_G(A, B) > (2k - 1)|A| + k|B|$$

and so,

$$|G|(|A| - k) = (|A| + |B|)(|A| - k) > k|A|.$$

Hence, we find that $2k(|A| - k) > k|A|$, and $|A| > 2k$, contradicting that $|A| \leq |G|$.

The conclusion of Lemma 1, part (B) follows when $|G| \leq 2k$ since then the hypothesis is false. Assume now that $|G| \geq 2k + 1$ and that the assertion holds for graphs with fewer vertices than G . This assumption implies the assertion if G is disconnected, so to the end of the proof we shall assume that G is connected. Also, in view of Claim 10 and $|G| \geq 2k + 1$, we shall assume that G is non-Hamiltonian.

The induction step is completed if there is a vertex $u \in B$ such that $d_G(u) \leq k$. Indeed the sets A and $B' = B \setminus \{u\}$ partition the vertices of $G - u$ and also

$$\begin{aligned} 2e_{G-u}(A) + e_{G-u}(A, B) &= 2e_G(A) + e_G(A, B) - d_G(u) \\ &> (2k - 1)|A| + k|B| - k \\ &= (2k - 1)|A| + k|B'|; \end{aligned}$$

hence $G - u$ contains an A -path of order $2k + 1$, completing the proof. Thus, to the end of the proof we shall assume that:

(a) $d_G(u) \geq k + 1$ for every vertex $u \in B$.

For every vertex $u \in A$, write $d'_G(u)$ for its neighbors in A and $d''_G(u)$ for its neighbors in B . The induction step can be completed if there is a vertex $u \in A$ such that $2d'_G(u) + d''_G(u) \leq 2k - 1$. Indeed, if u is such a vertex, note that the sets $A' = A \setminus \{u\}$ and B partition the vertices of $G - u$ and also

$$\begin{aligned} 2e_{G-u}(A) + e_{G-u}(A, B) &= 2e_G(A) + e_G(A, B) - 2d'_G(u) - d''_G(u) \\ &> (2k - 1)|A| + k|B| - 2k + 1 \\ &= (2k - 1)|A'| + k|B|; \end{aligned}$$

hence $G - u$ contains an A -path of order $2k + 1$, completing the proof. Thus, to the end of the proof, we shall assume that:

(b) $d_G(u) \geq k$ for every vertex $u \in A$ and if u has neighbors in B , then $d_G(u) \geq k + 1$.

Select now a path $P = (v_1, \dots, v_p)$ of maximum length in G . To complete the induction step we shall consider three cases: (i) $v_1 \in B$, $v_p \in B$; (ii) $v_1 \in B$, $v_p \in A$, and (iii) $v_1 \in A$, $v_p \in A$.

Case (i): $v_1 \in B$, $v_p \in B$

In view of assumption (b) we have $d_G(v_1) + d_G(v_p) \geq 2k + 2$, and Lemma 4 implies that $p \geq 2k + 3$. If p is odd, we see that $(v_2, \dots, v_{p-1})$ is an A -path of order at least $2k + 1$, and by Claim 9, the proof is completed.

Suppose now that p is even. Applying Lemma 5, we see that either G has a cycle of order at least $2d_G(v_1) \geq 2k + 2$, or v_1 is joined to v_i and v_{i+1} for some $i \in \{2, \dots, p - 2\}$. In the first case we complete the proof by Claim 10; in the second case we see that the sequence

$$(v_2, v_3, \dots, v_i, v_1, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, \dots, v_{p-1})$$

is an A -path of order $p - 1$. Since $p - 1$ is odd and $p - 1 \geq 2k + 3$, the proof is completed by Claim 9.

Case (ii): $v_1 \in B$, $v_p \in A$

In view of assumptions (a) and (b) we have $d_G(v_1) + d_G(v_p) \geq 2k + 1$, and Lemma 4 implies that $p \geq 2k + 2$. If p is even, we see that $(v_2, \dots, v_{p-1})$ is an A -path of order at least $2k + 1$, and by Claim 9, the proof is completed.

Suppose now that p is odd. Applying Lemma 5, we see that either G has a cycle of order at least $2d_G(v_1) \geq 2k + 2$, or v_1 is joined to v_i and v_{i+1} for some $i \in \{2, \dots, p - 1\}$. In the first case we complete the proof by Claim 10; in the second case we see that the sequence

$$(v_2, v_3, \dots, v_i, v_1, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, \dots, v_p)$$

is an A -path of order p . Since p is odd and $p \geq 2k + 2$, the proof is completed by Claim 9.

Case (iii): $v_1 \in A$, $v_p \in A$

In view of assumption (b) we have $d_G(v_1) + d_G(v_p) \geq 2k$, and Lemma 4 implies that $p \geq 2k + 1$. If p is odd, the proof is completed by Claim 9.

Suppose now that p is even, and therefore, $p \geq 2k + 2$. If $v_2 \in A$, then the sequence $(v_2, \dots, v_p)$ is an A -path of odd order $p - 1 \geq 2k + 1$, completing the proof by Claim 9. If $v_2 \in B$, we see that v_1 has a neighbor in B , and so, $d_G(v_1) \geq k + 1$.

Applying Lemma 5, we see that either G has a cycle of order at least $2d_G(v_1) \geq 2k + 2$, or v_1 is joined to v_i and v_{i+1} for some $i \in \{2, \dots, p - 2\}$. In the first case we complete the proof by Claim 10. In the second case we shall exhibit an A -path of order $p - 1$. Indeed, if $i = 2$, let

$$Q = (v_1, v_3, v_4, \dots, v_p),$$

and if $i \geq 3$, let

$$Q = (v_3, \dots, v_i, v_1, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, \dots, v_p).$$

In either case Q is an A -path of order $p - 1$. Since $p - 1$ is odd and $p - 1 \geq 2k + 1$, the proof is completed by Claim 9.

This completes the proof of Lemma 1. $\square$

Acknowledgment Thanks are due to Dick Schelp and Ago Riet for useful discussions on Lemma 1.

References

- [1] B. Bollobás, *Modern Graph Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **184**, Springer-Verlag, New York (1998), xiv+394 pp.
- [2] J. A. Bondy and M. Simonovits, Cycles of even length in graphs, *J. Comb. Theory Ser. B* **16** (1974), 97–105.
- [3] Y. Caro, R. Yuster, A Turán type problem concerning the powers of the degrees of a graph, *Electron. J. Comb.* **7** (2000), RP 47.
- [4] P. Erdős, T. Gallai, On maximal paths and circuits of graphs, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **10** (1959), 337–356.
- [5] V. Nikiforov, The spectral radius of graphs with forbidden paths and cycles, *preprint*.
- [6] J. Verstraëte, On arithmetic progressions of cycle lengths in graphs, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **9** (2000), 369–373.